

Canadian Prosthetics & Orthotics Journal (CPOJ)



Thank you for agreeing to review for CPOJ.

Before reviewing an article please consider the following:

Confidentiality: All submissions to Canadian Prosthetics & Orthotics Journal are confidential; please do not discuss any parts of the submission with a third party.

Time to review the submission: The reviewer should finish evaluating the article within three weeks. If additional time is required to review the article please contact the editor as soon as possible, or feel free to recommend alternative reviewer/s.

Potential conflicts of interests: Please disclose all conflicts of interest to the editor before reviewing the article.

Paper Title:

Review Round:

1	Is the submission original?	Yes	No
2	Does the Abstract give an adequate picture of the manuscript overall?	Yes	No
3	Are the Keywords appropriately chosen?	Yes	No
4	Is the statistical method appropriate?	Yes	No
5	Are the Conclusions accurate and supported by the content?	Yes	No
6	Are the References properly cited throughout the manuscript?	Yes	No

Please evaluate the manuscript based on the following scale and assign the score for each item:

- (1) Unacceptable
- (2) Weak
- (3) Acceptable
- (4) Very good
- (5) Excellent

Manuscript title reflects the content and purpose of the research.	1	2	3	4	5
The manuscript is well organized, and clearly written.	1	2	3	4	5
The manuscript is easy to read and free from grammatical or spelling errors.	1	2	3	4	5
Author(s) clearly stated the problem(s) being explored in the research study and clarified previous findings in the literature.	1	2	3	4	5
Author(s) describe a connection between the problem(s) to an identified (practical) need in the field.	1	2	3	4	5
The research design is appropriate for solving the problem(s) stated in the introduction section.	1	2	3	4	5
Presented tables, and figures are original and comprehensible with informative and appropriate captions.	1	2	3	4	5
Do the tables, graphs and figures accurately reflect the text they support/illustrate?	1	2	3	4	5
In the Discussion section, authors supported their findings with intelligible explanations.	1	2	3	4	5
Please give an overall score to the Abstract.	1	2	3	4	5
Please give an overall score to the Introduction section.	1	2	3	4	5
Please give an overall score to the Methodology section.	1	2	3	4	5
Please give an overall score to the Result section.	1	2	3	4	5
Please give an overall score to the Discussion section.	1	2	3	4	5
Please give an overall score to the manuscript.	1	2	3	4	5

Overall Impression of Paper (Overall Impressions box will not be passed on to the authors)

What is your recommendation?

1	2	3	4
Publish as is	Conditionally accept with minor revisions	The article should be thoroughly changed (major revision)	Reject

We really appreciate if you could provide detailed comments and make suggestions (if needed) to our authors to improve quality, and clarity of their research work.

Additional comments for the author(s):

Thank you.

CPOJ Editorial Office: cpoj@online-publication.com